## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 15-137 (GAG)

LUIS FIGUEROA-PAGAN [12],

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Luis Figueroa-Pagán [12] was charged in Counts One through Five of the Indictment and he agreed to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment. Count One charges Defendant with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute controlled substances, to wit: one (1) kilogram or more of a mixture of substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; two hundred and eighty (280) grams or more of a mixture of substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (crack), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; five (5) kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; and a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; all within one thousand (1,000) feet of the real properties comprising housing facilities owned by a public housing authority. All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 846 and 860.

On August 11, 2017, defendant appeared before this Magistrate Judge, since the Rule 11 hearing was referred by the Court. Defendant was provided with a Waiver of Right to Trial by Jury, which he signed and agreed upon voluntarily after examination in

open court, under oath.

Defendant indicated and confirmed his intention to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment, upon being advised of his right to have said proceedings before a district judge of this court.¹ Upon verifying through defendant's statement his age, education and any relevant aspect as to the use of medication, drugs, alcohol or substance dependency, and psychological or psychiatric condition, to ascertain his capacity and ability to understand, answer and comprehend the interactive colloquy with this Magistrate Judge, a determination was made as to defendant's competency and ability to understand the proceedings.

Having further advised defendant of the charges contained in above-stated Count One, he was examined and verified as being correct that: he had consulted with his counsel Ignacio Fernández, prior to the hearing for change of plea, that he was satisfied with the services provided by his legal representative and had time to discuss with him all aspects of the case, insofar, among other things, regarding the change of plea, the consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge, the content of the Indictment and the charges therein, his constitutional rights and the consequences of the waiver of same.

Defendant was specifically apprised by this Magistrate Judge that, upon withdrawing his initial plea of not guilty and now entering a plea of guilty to the charge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The form entitled Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty (Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 3

specified, he was waiving his right to a public, speedy, and a trial by jury constituted by twelve jurors who have to unanimously agree to a verdict. He was also waiving his right to be presumed innocent and for the government to meet the obligation of establishing his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, he was waiving his right during said trial to confront the witnesses who were to testify against him and be able to cross-examine them, through counsel at said trial, as well as present evidence on his behalf. He was also waiving the right to compel the attendance of witnesses and that subpoenas be issued to have them appear in court to testify. Defendant was specifically apprised of his right to take the stand and testify, if he so decided, or not to testify, and no inference or decision as to his guilt could be made from the fact if he decides not to testify. Defendant was also explained his right not to incriminate himself; that upon such a waiver of all above-discussed rights a judgment of guilty and his sentence were to be based on his plea of guilty, and he would be sentenced by the judge after considering the information contained in a pre-sentence report.

As to all the above, defendant provided an individualized and positive acknowledgment of each and every waiver and, with the assistance of his counsel, indicated he freely and voluntarily waived those rights and understood the consequences. During all this colloquy, defendant was made aware that he could freely request from this Magistrate Judge any additional clarification, repetition, or ask questions and that he may consult with his attorney at any given time as to any issue.

Defendant expressed his understanding of the penalties prescribed by statute for the offenses as to which he was pleading guilty. The penalty for the offense charged in

Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG)

**Report and Recommendation** 

Page 4

Count One is a term of imprisonment which shall not be less than ten (10) years and up to two (2) terms of life in prison, a fine not to exceed twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000.00) and a term of supervised release of not less than ten (10) years, in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to Title 21, <u>United States Code</u>, Sections

841(b)(1)(A), 846 and 860.

However, based on the stipulated and agreed amount of narcotics possessed by the defendant, that is, at least 200G but less than 200G of cocaine, the defendant faces up to 40 years of imprisonment, a fine not to exceed (\$2,000,000.00), and a term of supervised release of at least 6 years in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b)(1)(C), 846 and 860.

Defendant agrees to pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00), per count of conviction, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to Title 18, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 3013(a)(2)(A).

Having ascertained directly from defendant that he had not been induced in any way to plead guilty, that no one had forced him in any way to plead guilty, nor that he had been offered any reward or any other thing of value to get him to plead guilty, the document entitled "Plea and Forfeiture Agreement (Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B))" ("the Agreement") and the "Plea Agreement Supplement" were shown to defendant, verifying his signature and initials on each and every page.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendant acknowledged discussing the "Plea Agreement Supplement" with his counsel and stated he understood the terms and consequences of the same. Defense counsel recognized he explained to defendant the content of the "Plea Agreement Supplement" and explained to defendant its consequences.

Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 5

Pursuant to said Agreement, and insofar as Count One, as to which defendant already was aware of the maximum possible penalties, defendant was apprised that it was up to the sole discretion of the sentencing court what the sentence to be imposed on him will be. Defendant was specifically informed that if the sentencing court were to impose a sentence which turned out to be higher or more severe than the one he might be expecting, for said reason alone, defendant would have no grounds for the court to allow him to withdraw his plea of guilty.

The above-captioned parties' estimate and agreement that appears on page five (5), paragraph seven (7) of the Agreement, regarding the possible applicable advisory Sentencing Guidelines, were further elaborated and explained. Based on the amount of controlled substance stipulated by the parties, that is at least 200G two but less than 300G of cocaine, the Base Offense Level is of Eighteen (18), pursuant to the Drug Quantity Table in U.S.S.G. §2D1.1. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §2D1.2(a)(1), an increase of two (2) levels is agreed for protected location. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1, a decrease of thee (3) levels is agreed for acceptance of responsibility. Therefore, the Total Offense Level is of Seventeen (17), yielding an imprisonment range of 24-30 months assuming a Criminal History Category of I; 27-33 months assuming a Criminal History Category of II; 30-37 months assuming a Criminal History Category of IV; 46-57 months assuming a Criminal History Category of V; and 51-63 months assuming a Criminal History Category of VI.

The parties do not stipulate as to any Criminal History Category for Defendant.

factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the parties agree to recommend a sentence within the applicable Guideline Sentencing Range for a total offense level of 17, when combined with defendant's criminal history category as determined by the Court. The

As to Count One of the Indictment, and after due consideration of the relevant

parties further agree that the sentence imposed in this case should run concurrently to

the sentence imposed in federal case 12-320 (CCC) which is relevant conduct to the case

of reference.

After sentencing, the United States of America will move to dismiss the pending counts of the Indictment, if the defendant is sentenced pursuant to the terms, conditions and recommendations of the Plea Agreement.

The United States and defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to Defendant's total adjusted base offense level and no variance sentence under Title 18, <a href="United States Code">United States Code</a>, Section 3553 shall be sought by Defendant. The parties agree that any request by Defendant for an adjustment or departure will be considered a material breach of this Plea and Forfeiture Agreement, and the United States will be free to ask for any sentence, either guideline or statutory.

As part of the written Agreement, the government, the defendant, and his counsel also agreed they are aware that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered advisory.

The government presented to this Magistrate Judge and to defendant, assisted by his counsel, a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant had elected to go to trial,

Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 7

the commission of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Counsel and defendant

acknowledged the evidence of the government was fully disclosed to them and previously

discussed between the two. Defendant was also read and shown a document entitled

"Stipulation of Facts", which had been signed by defendant and his counsel and is

attached to the Agreement, wherein the signature of counsel for the government also

appears. Defendant was able to understand the explanation and agreed with the

government's submission.

Defendant was explained that the Agreement with the government does not bind

any other district, except the district of Puerto Rico, and it contained all the promises,

terms and conditions which defendant, his attorney and the government, have entered.

Defendant acknowledged being aware of the Forfeiture Provisions included in

paragraph fifteen (15) of the Agreement and discussing the same with his counsel.

Defendant indicated he understood its terms and conditions which were explained by his

counsel.

Having once more ascertained that defendant has indicated not being induced to

plead guilty, and was entering such a plea because in fact he is guilty, without any

promises or predictions being made as to the sentence to be imposed by the court,

defendant was informed that parole has been abolished under the advisory Sentencing

Reform Act and that any sentence of imprisonment would be served, without him being

released on parole. Defendant was additionally informed that prior to sentence, the

sentencing judge will have a pre-sentence report and that it would be made available to

him, to his counsel and to the government, so that they be allowed to correct or object to

any information contained in said report which was not accurate.

Defendant was informed that he can appeal his conviction if he believes that his guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings which was not waived by his guilty plea. Defendant was also informed that he has a statutory right to appeal his sentence under certain circumstances particularly if the sentence is contrary to law. With few exceptions, any notice of appeal must be filed within fourteen (14) days of judgment being entered in the case. Defendant was also apprised the right to appeal is subject to certain limitations allowed by law because his Plea and Forfeiture Agreement contains a waiver of appeal in paragraph ten (10) which was read to defendant in open court. Defendant recognized having knowledge of the waiver of appeal, discussing the same with his counsel and understanding its consequences. Defense counsel acknowledged discussing the waiver of appeal and its consequences with his client.

Defendant waived the reading of the Indictment in open court because he is aware of its content, indicating he availed himself of the opportunity to further discuss same with his attorney and then he positively stated that what was contained in Count One was what he had done and to which he was pleading guilty during these proceedings. Thereafter, defendant expressed in no uncertain terms that he agreed with the government's evidence as to his participation in the offense. Thereupon, defendant indicated he was pleading guilty to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG).

This Magistrate Judge after having explained to the defendant his rights, ascertaining that he was acting freely and voluntarily to the waiver of such rights and in his decision of pleading guilty, with full knowledge of the consequences thereof, and there being a basis in fact for such a plea, is recommending that a plea of guilty be entered as to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 15-137 (GAG).

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

The sentencing hearing is set for December 12, 2017 at 9:00 a.m., before Honorable Gustavo A. Gelpí, District Judge.

Defense counsel will file a motion requesting that the PSR be expedited as well as the sentencing hearing due to the particular circumstances of this case.

The parties have fourteen (14) days to file any objections to this report and recommendation. Amended Fed. R. Crim P. 59 (b)(2). See also Amended Local Rules. Failure to file same within the specified time waives the right to appeal this order. Henley Drilling Co. v. McGee, 36 F.3d 143, 150-151 (1st Cir. 1994); United States v. Valencia, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986). See Paterson-Leitch Co. v. Mass. Mun. Wholesale Elec. Co., 840 F.2d 985, 991 (1st Cir. 1988).

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 11th day of August of 2017.

s/ CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE